



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

pre-eminently a system and unless so viewed can never be fully mastered.

The charts were large and unwieldy; to overcome this difficulty, the authors of the book in hand have reduced the charts to a set of tables, so arranged as to enable the student to see the subject in its entirety.

The Tables are intended as an outline-map of the Rules of Evidence as set forth in Stephen's Digest. Copious footnotes are added where necessary to explain or enlarge on the Tables; there are also references throughout to the second American edition of Stephen's Digest of the Law of Evidence (Chase).

Abundant blank space is furnished, so that the owner can conveniently make permanent notes of his own.

Such a book must prove acceptable to the practitioner, both for a handy reference book and for occasionally refreshing his knowledge of evidence—a part of the law which can never be known too accurately.

It is also a welcome book to students, being primarily intended for their use. As a guide in reviewing for Law School examinations the book has been hailed with delight. In review for county or state Bar examinations the utility of the work will be equally great.

A recent review in the REGISTER mentioned the brevity of a certain book as its only redeeming feature, here on the contrary we feel that the only possible fault to be found is that there is not more of it. As an outline, the Tables with their accompanying notes are all that can be desired, but it is earnestly hoped that the authors of the Tables, encouraged by the favor with which their work will surely be received by the law students and the profession generally, will at an early day undertake a work of greater scope and give us a complete treatise on the law of Evidence—a work which their experience and ability would render peculiarly welcome to the Bar at large.

R. B. W.

MEDICAL JURISPRUDENCE, INSANITY AND TOXICOLOGY. By HENRY C. CHAPMAN, M. D., Professor of Institutes of Medicine and Medical Jurisprudence in the Jefferson Medical College of Philadelphia. Third edition. Thoroughly revised. 12mo. Pp. 329. Including sixty-four illustrations and four plates in colors. Philadelphia, New York, London: W. B. Saunders & Co. 1903.

The subject of medicine and its relation to law has received a good deal of attention from recent writers, and the importance of medical jurisprudence, etc., justifies this attention. The above work in brief compass meets the demands of the legal

profession, and a careful study of it will aid the attorney greatly in cases involving medical treatment.

What gives the book its value is that it is based on the author's practical experience as coroner's physician of the city of Philadelphia for a period of six years. Surely a coroner's physician has greater opportunities for observing medico-legal cases than any one else.

This third edition shows an increase of seventy-five pages over the preceding edition; this does not mean a mere addition of that much material, but a careful revision and enlargement of the entire work. Much of the matter has been re-arranged and the text has been more fully illuminated by additional references to cases. A number of new figures and tables have been added.

The work deserves to meet with even greater favor than the previous edition. Although originally planned for a course of lectures delivered by the author to the students of Jefferson Medical College, it has since been remodeled so as to be of general service to the physician or lawyer. R. B. W.

REPORT OF THE FOURTH ANNUAL MEETING OF THE NORTH CAROLINA BAR ASSOCIATION. Edited by J. CRAWFORD BIGGS, Secretary. Pp. 212. Durham, N. C. 1902.

While the proceedings of the various Bar associations are not interesting as a whole to the profession in general, yet it is of interest to know what points were worthy of discussion at the annual meeting of any state Bar association.

The set addresses at the above meeting were: "The President's Annual Address," by Chas. M. Busbee, of Raleigh. The suggestions thrown out as to the bringing actions in *forma pauperis* according to statute formed one of the main sources of discussion in the meeting. "The Triumph of Equity," an address by James C. MacRea, LL. D., Dean of the Law Department of the University of North Carolina. "The Annual Address: An Account of the System of Law Prevailing now in Louisiana," by Hon. Francis T. Nicholls, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Louisiana. "The Supreme Court of the United States," by George Rountree, of Wilmington, N. C.

NOTES ON RECENT LEADING ARTICLES IN LEGAL PUBLICATIONS.

ALBANY LAW JOURNAL.—June.

The Province of the Law. Carroll G. Walter. It is here argued that the province of the law is to regulate and secure the rights of persons and property, and that we are in danger of going beyond the legitimate bounds of that province. The "Anti-anarchy," Compulsory Education and Prevention of Child Labor laws are classed as dangerous, as passing the limits laid down. On the other hand it seems